

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/027,067	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DIGIOVANNI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlos Lopez	<b>Art Unit</b> 1731	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Carlos Lopez.

 (2) Peter Wilde.
**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 January 2004
**Time:** 8am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10,11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*To clarify that claim's 10 ratio refers to the optical fiber and not the preform, the term - -of the optical fiber- - was inserted after the term "the cladding diameter". Additionally, claim 11 was cancelled as it appears to not further limit claim 10.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)